EXHIBIT E

59tiisrp ag	59t	ii	srp	aq
-------------	-----	----	-----	----

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

PLEA

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: United States v. Samuel Israel,
2 | III.

MS FEINZIG: Margery Feinzig and Perry Carbone for the government.

MR. BADER: Lawrence Bader of Morvillo, Abramowitz,
Grand, Iason and Silberberg for Mr. Israel. With me is Barbara
Balter and Barry Bohrer.

THE COURT: We're here for the waiver of indictment, the filing of an information, and the entry of a plea.

Ms Hilbert, please swear the defendant.

(Defendant sworn)

BY THE COURT:

- Q. Mr. Israel, you are now under oath and are sworn to tell the truth. If you knowingly made a false statement, you could be charged with perjury or making a false statement, do you understand that?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. What is your name, please?
- 19 A. Samuel Israel III.
- 20 Q. How old are you?
- 21 A. 46 years old.
- 22 Q. How far did you go in school?
- 23 A. Three and half years of college.
- Q. Do you speak, understand, read and write the English language?

PLEA

3

- 1 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 2 | Q. Are you presently under the care of any doctor or
- 3 | psychiatrist?
- 4 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 5 Q. Which one?
- 6 A. Sorry, psychiatrist.
- 7 | Q. Are you able to understand the proceedings here today okay?
- 8 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 9 Q. Have you ever been hospitalized or treated for alcoholism
- 10 or narcotics addiction?
- 11 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 12 | Q. Does that affect your ability to understand what's going on
- 13 here today?
- 14 | A. No, your Honor.
- 15 Q. Are you currently under the influence of any drugs,
- 16 || alcohol, medicine or pills?
- 17 A. I wear a fentanyl patch, your Honor, for chronic pain. I
- 18 | had eleven spinal surgeries.
- 19 Q. That does not affect your ability to understand?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Do you suffer from any other physical or mental problem
- 22 which prevents you from understanding the proceedings?
- 23 A. No, your Honor.
- Q. You do have the absolute right to be represented by an
- 25 | attorney at this and at every stage of the proceedings against

PLEA

- you. If you could not afford an attorney, the Court would
 appoint you one. And the right to an attorney goes to every
- stage of the criminal proceedings including trial and appeal,
- 4 do you understand that?
- 5 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 6 Q. Now, you have retained Mr. Bader to represent you in the
- 7 | case?
- 8 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 9 Q. Are you satisfied with the services that he has provided to
- 10 you so far?
- 11 A. Very much so, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Ms Hilbert, if you would verify the
- defendant's signature on the waiver, please.
- 14 BY THE COURTROOM DEPUTY:
- 15 | Q. You are Samuel Israel III?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is that your original signature signed today, September 29,
- 18 2005?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Before signing this waiver, have you discussed it with your
- 21 attorney?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. He has explained this waiver to you?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Have you signed it voluntarily?

PLEA

5

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Do you understand you are under no obligation to waive
- 3 | indictment?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Do you also understand if you don't waive indictment and if
- 6 | the government wants to prosecute you, they would have to
- 7 present your case to a grand jury which may or may not indict
- 8 you?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. Do you understand what a grand jury is?
- 11 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 | Q. Have you seen a copy of this felony information?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 BY THE COURT:
- 15 | Q. Mr. Israel, unless you waive indictment, you could not be
- 16 charged with a felony unless a grand jury finds probable cause
- 17 | to believe that a crime has been committed and that you
- 18 committed it, do you understand that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. A grand jury is composed of at least 16 and not more than
- 21 | 23 persons. At least 12 grand jurors must find probable cause
- 22 to believe that a crime has been committed before you could be
- 23 | indicted. Do you understand that?
- 24 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 25 | Q. If you waive indictment, this case will proceed on the

3

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PLEA

6

- charges in the United States Attorney's information just as though you had been indicted. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Have you discussed waiving your right to indictment by the grand jury with your attorney?
 - A. I have, your Honor.
 - Q. Have any threats or promises been made to induce you to waive indictment?
- 9 A. No, your Honor.
- 10 Q. Do you wish to waive your right to indictment by the grand jury?
- 12 A. I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court finds that the defendant has knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to indictment by the grand jury. The information will be accepted for filing. The Court will enter a not guilty plea at this time and direct the clerk to draw out the name of the assigned district court judge.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This is a Wheel A case, Judge.

THE COURT: The case has been assigned to Judge

Robinson.

Q. I do advise you, Mr. Israel, that you have the absolute right to have this guilty plea proceeding conducted before Judge Robinson who is the district court judge that would be imposing sentence in your case. Do you understand that?

PLEA

7

- 1 A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. However, if you consent and agree, I will conduct the
- 3 guilty plea proceeding here today. I will then report and
- 4 recommend to Judge Robinson as to whether or not your guilty
- 5 | plea should be accepted. I will make that recommendation based
- 6 on everything that is brought out during the proceeding here
- 7 today. It is important for you to understand that the Court
- 8 need not accept your plea of guilty unless it's satisfied that
- 9 you are guilty and that you understand all your rights. Do you
- 10 understand that?
- 11 A. I do, your Honor.
- 12 Q. Is it your wish that I conduct the guilty plea proceeding
- 13 here today, do you want me to do it?
- 14 A. It is, your Honor.
- THE COURT: There's a consent form.
- 16 BY THE COURTROOM DEPUTY:
- 17 | Q. Once again, that is your original signature signed today,
- 18 | September 29, 2005?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 BY THE COURT:
- 21 | Q. Did anyone threaten you or coerce you or promise you
- 22 anything to get you to consent to proceed before me here today?
- 23 A. No, your Honor.
- 24 | Q. Did you sign this consent form freely and voluntarily?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

PLEA

THE COURT: The Court finds that the defendant has knowingly and voluntarily consented to proceed before a United States magistrate judge on this felony plea allocution.

8

It's my understanding that there is no plea agreement in the case but a Pimentel letter?

MR. BADER: That's correct, your Honor.

- Q. I just want to advise you, Mr. Israel, that the government's estimate of your sentencing guideline range in this September 29, 2005 letter from the United States Attorney to your attorney is just that, it's an estimate, it's not binding on the district court judge who will be imposing sentence. Do you understand that?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. The district court judge could come up with a guideline range that is higher or lower than what is set forth here, do you understand that?
- 17 | A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Now, have you reviewed the charges that are pending against you?
- 20 A. I have, your Honor.
- 21 | Q. Do you understand those charges?
- 22 A. I do, your Honor.
- 23 | Q. Have you discussed them with your attorney?
- 24 A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand that anything which is not disclosed to

PLEA

me during the course of this proceeding will not be binding on the outcome your case, everything has to be disclosed? Do you understand that?

A. I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms Feinzig, could you advise the defendant of the maximum possibility penalties that he faces on his pleas of guilty.

MS FEINZIG: Yes, your Honor.

On the first count, which is conspiracy to commit investment adviser fraud and mail fraud, the defendant faces a maximum sentence of five years imprisonment, \$250,000 -- the greater of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, three years supervised release, a maximum amount of restitution of \$300,000,000, forfeiture and a hundred dollar special assessment.

On Count 2, which is investment adviser fraud, the maximum sentence is five years imprisonment, three years supervised release, a fine of the greater of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, a maximum restitution amount of \$300,000,000, forfeiture and a hundred dollar special assessment.

And on Count 3, the mail fraud count, the maximum sentence is 20 years imprisonment, three years supervised release, a fine of the greater of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss, a maximum restitution amount of

59tiisrp ag

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PLEA

- 1 \$300,000,000, forfeiture, and a hundred dollar special assessment.
- Q. Mr. Israel, did you hear what the assistant United States attorney just said?
 - A. I did, your Honor.
- Q. Do you understand that those are the maximum possiblepenalties that you face on your pleas of guilty?
- 8 A. I understand, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand that if you are sentenced to a term of imprisonment, even if it was the maximum term, and to a term of supervised release, and if you violate the terms of supervised release you could be sentenced to an additional term of imprisonment for violating conditions of supervised release?

 Do you understand that?
 - A. I understand, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand that if that were to occur, you would not receive credit against any additional sentence for the jail time had you already served, nor for the time you had served on supervised release? Do you understand that?
 - A. I understand, your Honor.
 - Q. You are pleading guilty to a felony offense. Such adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, the right to possess any type of firearm, and you could forfeit the right to possess certain professional

59tiisrp ag

PLEA

- 1 licenses; these are just examples. Do you understand that?
- 2 A. I understand, your Honor.
- 3 | Q. Are you a United States citizen?
- 4 A. I am, your Honor.
- 5 | Q. Do you understand, Mr. Israel, that what I've gone over are
- 6 the possible consequences of a plea of guilty, these are the
- 7 | things that could happen to you?
- 8 A. I understand, your Honor.
- 9 Q. Have you and your attorney talked about how the Sentencing
- 10 Guidelines may apply to your case?
- 11 A. We have spoken about it, your Honor.
- 12 | Q. Do you understand that the Sentencing Guidelines are not
- 13 mandatory but they must be considered by the district court
- 14 | judge when imposing sentence in your case?
- 15 A. I understand that, your Honor.
- 16 Q. Do you understand that the Court will not be able to
- 17 determine the guideline range for your case until after a
- 18 presentence report has been completed, you and the government
- 19 | will have an opportunity to review that report? You could
- 20 | challenge any facts in that report, and you could challenge the
- 21 report's computation of your sentencing guideline range. Do
- 22 | you understand that?
- 23 A. I understand, your Honor.
- 24 | Q. Do you understand that under certain circumstances, both
- 25 | you and the government have the right to appeal any sentence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

PLEA

that the Court would impose subject to the terms of anything set forth on the record here today? Do you understand that?

A. I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: And there are no appeal waivers in this plea.

MS FEINZIG: That's correct, your Honor.

- Q. Do you understand that parole has been abolished? If you are sentenced to a term of imprisonment, you will not be eligible for an early release on parole? There's no parole in the federal system.
- A. I understand, your Honor.
- Q. Do you understand that if you disagree with the sentencing guideline determination which is made by the Court, that will not constitute a basis for you to withdraw your plea of guilty?
 - A. I understand, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand that you do not have to plead guilty in this matter? You have the absolute right to have this matter tried. If you chose to plead not guilty, you are entitled to a speedy and public trial and your case could be decided by a jury. Do you understand?
 - A. I understand that, your Honor.
- Q. Also, if both you and the government agree, your case could be decided by a judge alone without a jury. Do you understand?
- 24 A. I do understand, your Honor.
 - Q. If there was a trial, the presumption of innocence would

59tiisrp ag

PLEA

remain in your favor throughout the entire trial. The government would have the burden at any trial of proving your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as to each and every element of the crimes charged. And if tried by a jury, the government would have to prove your case to the unanimous satisfaction of each and every member of the jury before you could be found guilty. Do you understand that?

- A. I understand, your Honor.
- Q. If there was a trial, you would have the right, with the assistance of your attorney, to cross-examine and confront the witnesses against you; you would have the right to call witnesses to testify on your behalf; and you would have the right to have subpoenas issued to compel witnesses to give testimony on your behalf. You would also have the right at any trial to testify on your own behalf, but only if you wish, you could not be compelled to testify, and if you chose not to testify, no unfavorable inference would be drawn against you because of that. Do you understand?
- A. I understand, your Honor.
- Q. If there was a trial, you would be entitled to the assistance of your attorney and to have an attorney assigned to represent you without cost if you could not afford an attorney. Do you understand?
- A. I understand, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand, Mr. Israel, that by pleading guilty to

б

PLEA

the charges here today, you are giving up your right to a trial, there will not be a trial in this matter?

A. I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms Feinzig, what would the government be prepared to prove if this matter did proceed to trial.

MS FEINZIG: Your Honor, the elements of the first count, the conspiracy count, are the following:

First, that two or more persons entered into the unlawful agreement charged in the information;

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of the conspiracy;

Third, that one of the members of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one of the overt acts charged in the information;

And fourth, that the overt acts which are found to have been committed were committed to further some objective of the conspiracy.

In connection with Count 2, the investment adviser fraud count, the government would have to prove that the defendant was an investment adviser, and that the defendant had utilized the mails or any instruments of interstate commerce to do one or more of the following: Employ a device, scheme or artifice to defraud investors, engage in actions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon clients and prospective clients, or engage in acts, practices

PLEA

and courses of business that were fraudulent, deceptive and manipulative. And finally, that the defendant acted knowingly and willfully.

In connection with the mail fraud count, the government would have to prove first that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, as alleged in the information. Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with a specific intent to defraud. And third, that in execution of that scheme, the defendant used or caused the use of the mails as specified in the information.

In order to establish the elements of these crimes, the government would prove at trial, based on documentary evidence and testimony of witnesses, that during the time period set forth in the information, Samuel Israel and his co-conspirators perpetrated a fraud on investors and prospective investors of the Bayou Hedge Funds by misrepresenting the value of the Hedge Funds' assets, and including these misrepresentations to be mailed to current and prospective investors in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere. These false and misleading statements and representations induced new investors to invest in Bayou and lulled existing investors into retaining their investments in

59tiisrp ag

PLEA

the Bayou Hedge Funds.

The evidence would establish that Mr. Israel, who was a founder and the chief executive officer of Bayou Management, was responsible for executing securities trading on behalf of all the Bayou Hedge Funds. He and his co-conspirators caused to be mailed quarterly reports to investors that contained fictitious rates of return on trading in the funds and annual financial statements that contained fictitious rates of return on trading and inflated net assets values. Mr. Israel and his co-conspirators also had faxed and mailed weekly newsletters that also misrepresented the performance of the funds at various times during the time period set forth in the information. All these communications to investors made it appear that Bayou was earning profits on trading when in fact it was not.

In furtherance of the scheme and because Bayou could not use an actual certified public accounting firm to audit the funds and certify the annual financial statements, Mr. Israel had his co-conspirator and chief financial officer in early 1999 form a phony accounting firm name Richmond Fairfield Associates. And year after year between 1999 and 2004, the co-conspirators had Bayou's false financial statements sent out with a fictitious certification by Richmond Fairfield Associates that the funds had been audited and the financial statements were accurate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

PLEA

The evidence would further establish that in 2004, Mr. Israel stopped trading and attempted to conduct private placements transactions in Europe and in the United States. This use of Bayou funds was not disclosed to investors.

Indeed, communications to investors during that time period falsely indicated that trading was still going on.

According to the evidence the government would present, the effect of these false statements was to induce investors to invest in excess of \$450,000,000 in the Bayou Hedge Funds.

- 11 BY THE COURT:
- 12 Q. Did you hear what the assistant United States attorney just
- 13 | said?
- 14 A. I did, your Honor.
- 15 Q. Do you agree with that?
- 16 A. I do, your Honor.
- Q. Have you clearly and fully understood everything that has happened here today so far?
- 19 A. Absolutely, your Honor.
- 20 Q. Do you have any questions of your attorney or the Court
- 21 | before I continue?
- 22 A. I do not, your Honor.
- 23 | Q. I am going to summarize the counts in the felony
- 24 information and take your plea. Count 1, the United States
- 25 Attorney charges:

During the relevant time period, Bayou sustained trading losses in order to induce investors to invest in Bayou and to lull existing investors into retaining their investments in Bayou. The defendant and co-conspirators not named herein perpetrated a scheme to defraud investors by disseminating reports and financial statements among other things that contained materially false statements and by failing to invest investors' funds as promised.

Among the means and methods by which Israel and co-conspirators not named herein would and did carry out the conspiracy were the following:

Israel and a co-conspirator reported fictitious rates of return by the Bayou Hedge Funds in quarterly reports and had those reports mailed to investors.

Israel and a co-conspirator reported fictitious rates of return of the Bayou Hedge Funds in weekly newsletters and had those newsletters emailed or faxed to investors.

Israel and a co-conspirator reported individual investor's inflated accumulated profits in monthly reports and had those reports mailed to investors.

Israel and his co-conspirators had annual financial statements mailed to investors that contained among other misrepresentations, inflated rates of return on trading, inflated net asset values, and certifications that Bayou had been audited by a certified public accounting firm known as

PLEA

Richmond Fairfield Associates.

In or about early 1999, a co-conspirator created the phony accounting firm Richmond Fairfield Associates and it conducted no audits. Between in or about the fall of 2003 and in or about August 2005, Israel and a co-conspirator entered and attempted to enter into private financial transactions using money from the Bayou Hedge Funds without disclosing the nature of those transactions to investors.

From in or about July 1996 through in or about August 2005, Israel and his co-conspirators induced investors to contribute in excess of \$450,000,000 to the Bayou Hedge Funds.

From in or about July 1996 to in or about August 2005 in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, Samuel Israel, the defendant, and co-conspirators not named herein unlawfully, willfully and knowingly did combine, conspire, confederate and agree together and with each other to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, investment adviser fraud in violation of Title 15 United States Code Sections 80b-6 and 80b-17, and mail fraud in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 1341.

It was part and object of the conspiracy that Samuel Israel, the defendant, and co-conspirators not named herein, acting as investments advisers with respect to one and more clients and prospective clients in the Bayou Hedge Funds, unlawfully willfully and knowingly, by the use of the mails and

1.

13.

PLEA

means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, directly and indirectly, did employ, devices, schemes and artifices to defraud clients and prospective clients; engage in transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon clients and prospective clients; and engage in acts, practices and courses of business that were fraudulent, deceptive and manipulative in violation of Title 15, United States Code Sections 80b-6 and 80b-17.

It was further a part and object of the conspiracy that Samuel Israel, the defendant, and co-conspirators not named herein, unlawfully, willfully and knowingly, having devised and intending to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud, and through obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent represences, representations and promises, did place and cause to be placed in a post office and authorized depository for mail matter, matters and things to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service and private and commercial interstate carriers, and did take and receive therefrom such matters and things in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 1341.

In furtherance of said conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the following overt acts among others were committed in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere. And I'm going to read overt acts A and I.

A. At various times from in or about July 1996

indirectly did:

PLEA

through in or about August 2005, Israel traded securities on behalf of Bayou through computers located in Westchester County, New York, and at Bayou's offices in Stamford, Connecticut.

I. At various times between in or about May 2003 and in or about August 2005, a co-conspirator invested money contributed to the Bayou Hedge Funds in private placement transactions, all in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 371.

To Count 1, how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?

I plead guilty, your Honor.

- Q. Count 2. The United States Attorney further charges from in or about July 1996 to in or about August 2005 in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, Samuel Israel, the defendant, and co-conspirators not named herein, acting as investment advisers with respect to clients and prospective clients in the Bayou Hedge Funds, unlawfully, willfully and knowingly, by the use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, directly and
- A. Employ devices, schemes and artifices to defraud clients and prospective clients.
- B. Engage in transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon clients and prospective clients;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

PLEA

and C. Engaged in acts, practices and courses of business that were fraudulent, deceptive and manipulative, in violation of Title 15 United States Code Sections 80b-6 and 80b-17 and Title 18 United States Code Section 2.

How do you plead, guilty or not guilty?

- A. I plead guilty, your Honor.
- The United States Attorney further charges from in or about July 1996 through in or about August 2005 in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, Samuel Israel, the defendant, and co-conspirators not named herein, having devised and intending to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, namely the scheme set forth above for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting to do so, did place and cause to be placed in a post office and authorized depository for mail matter, matters and things to be sent and delivered by the Postal Service and private and commercial interstate carriers. and did take and receive therefrom such matters and things, namely quarterly reports, monthly reports and annual financial statements, in violation of Title 18 United States Code Sections 1341 and 2.

To Count 3, how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?

- A. I plead guilty, your Honor.
- Q. There's also a forfeiture allegation where the defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

PLEA

Samuel Israel is agreeing to the forfeiture of a sum of money equal to \$450,000,000 representing the amounts of proceeds obtained as a result of the mail fraud and conspiracy offenses alleged in Counts 1 and 3.

MS FEINZIG: Your Honor, in connection with the forfeiture allegation, the defendant is not required to allocute to that. It's really in the information as a notice provision.

THE COURT: All right.

- Q. Do you agree with that, Mr. Israel?
- 11 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 12 | Q. You have notice and you agree to the forfeiture?
- MR. BADER: We are agreeing that we have notice of the forfeiture provision.
- THE COURT: Is that all that the government is requiring?
 - MS FEINZIG: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 Q. Mr. Israel, has anyone made any promises to you other than
- 20 what has been set forth on the record here today in order to
- 21 | induce you to plead guilty to those charges?
- 22 A. No, your Honor.
- Q. Has anyone threatened you or coerced you to get you to
- 24 | plead guilty?
- 25 A. No, your Honor.

PLEA

24

- Q. Has anyone put any pressure on you or done anything improper to you to get you to plead guilty?
 - A. No, your Honor.

3

б

- Q. Has anyone made any specific promises to you about what your sentence will be in this matter?
 - A. No, your Honor.
- Q. Mr. Israel, in your own words you are now going to have to tell me what you did in connection with these charges that
- 9 you're pleading guilty to.
- 10 A. Yes, your Honor. During the relevant time period, I was
- 11 chief executive officer of Bayou Management and chief
- 12 investment officer of the Bayou Fund. I was one of the people
- 13 responsible for trading securities on behalf of all the funds.
- 14 I, along with others, caused Bayou to send various kinds of
- documents containing false financial information about Bayou's
- 16 performance to current and prospective clients of Bayou which
- 17 made it appear that Bayou was performing better than it truly
- 18 was. My purpose was to induce these people to invest in Bayou
- or continue to keep their money in Bayou. These false material
- 20 statements were disseminated through the mails and by email to
- 21 people in places that included the Southern District of New
- 22 York. At the time that Bayou sent out these false materials, I
- 23 knew that the terms were false and I knew what I was doing was
- 24 wrong and fraudulent.

25

Q. Did you do the acts that you just talked about knowingly

59tiisrp ag PLEA

- 1 and willfully, you knew what you were doing?
- 2 A. I did, your Honor.
- Q. Are you pleading guilty to these charges because you are in
- 4 fact guilty of the crimes charged?
- 5 A. Yes, your Honor. I am guilty.
- Q. Did anyone force you or coerce you or threaten you or pressure you to do those acts?
- 8 A. No, your Honor.

22

23

24

25

- 9 THE COURT: Does the government have any further 10 inquiry?
- MS FEINZIG: No thank you, your Honor.
- 12 | THE COURT: All right.
- Mr. Bader, are you satisfied with the state of the record?
- MR. BADER: Yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why the Court should not accept a plea of guilty?
- MR. BADER: No, your Honor.
- Q. Mr. Israel, have you clearly and fully understood everything that has happened here today?
- 21 A. I understand everything, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Upon this allocution, the Court finds that the defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea of guilty. The plea is knowing and voluntary.

 The plea is supported by an independent factual basis for each

PLEA

and every element of the crimes charged.

Accordingly, I report and recommend to Judge Robinson that the plea be accepted and that the defendant be adjudged guilty of the crimes charged. I direct that a presentence investigation be conducted by the United States Probation Office. I further direct the court reporter to provide a transcript of these proceedings which sets forth my report and recommendation to Judge Robinson.

The matter will be adjourned for sentencing until January 9, 2006 at two p.m. That's your sentencing date before Judge Robinson.

This is the defendant's first appearance in court?

MS FEINZIG: It is your Honor. In connection with

bail, your Honor, I've spoken with Mr. Bader and we recommend

that the Court adopt the recommendation of the Pretrial

Services officer and have the amount of the bond be \$500,000.

THE COURT: Mr. Bader, any comment?

MR. BADER: No. We think that's a reasonable unsecured PRB, your Honor.

THE COURT: I have reviewed the Pretrial Services report and I am going to accept the recommendation that has been made. The bond is set in the amount of a \$500,000 personal recognizance bond to be co-signed by three financially responsible persons. Did you have a time period?

MS FEINZIG: Yes, your Honor. The government would

1.0

PLEA

consent to allowing Mr. Israel to have two weeks to bring forward his financially responsible people.

THE COURT: All right. Two weeks to produce the financially responsible people to the United States Attorney.

I am going to direct that there be pretrial supervision with mental health counseling and substance abuse counseling or treatment if necessary under the guidance of the Pretrial Services Office. Do you have a passport?

THE DEFENDANT: My passport was revoked, sir.

MS FEINZIG: The government is in possession of the passport.

THE COURT: I will direct that you not make application for any other passport or travel documents while you're on release. Your travel will be restricted to the Eastern District of New York and Southern District of New York.

And as far as your pretrial supervision, if the pretrial supervision officer tells you to do something it's just like the court telling to you do it and you have to do it.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The following conditions will also be imposed. You should not commit any offense in violation of federal, state or local law while on release. You shall immediately advise the Court, defense counsel and the United States Attorney in writing prior to any change of address or telephone numbers. You shall appear at all proceedings as

б

PLEA

required. You shall refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device or other dangerous weapon. You shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol. You shall refrain from any use or unlawful possession of a narcotic drug or other controlled substance unless prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner. If you violate any conditions of release, you could be brought back into court and your bail could be revoked. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In you do not show up in court as required, you could face a separate charge of bail-jumping and face additional penalties including imprisonment, fines or both. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Once the necessary papers are signed with the clerk, you'll be released. I am going to direct you take the defendant, Mr. Bader, down to the probation officer after the papers are signed to commence the presentence investigation process, and I'll direct the defendant also go down to the United States Marshal to be processed.

MR. BADER; Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

MR. BADER: No, thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: The matter is adjourned.

(Proceedings adjourned)